

Microeconomics Comprehensive Exam

August 2007

Instructions:

- (1) Please answer each of the four questions on separate pieces of paper.
- (2) When finished, please arrange your answers alphabetically
(in the order in which they appeared in the questions, i.e. 1 a., 1 b. etc.).

1.

a. John J. Johnson has preferences over bundles (x, y) in \mathbf{R}_+^2 given by the utility function $U(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$.

- i. Are John's preferences rational? Prove or disprove.
- ii. Show that John's utility function is convex.
- iii. Are John's preferences convex? Prove or disprove.

b. (This question is inspired by the alphabetical challenges of the Hungarian language.)
Janos J. Janos's preferences over bundles in \mathbf{R}_+^2 are as follows:

$$(a, b) \succeq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \lfloor a \rfloor > \lfloor x \rfloor, \text{ OR} \\ \lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor \text{ and } b > y, \text{ OR} \\ a = x \text{ and } b = y, \end{cases}$$

where $\lfloor z \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer no greater than z .

- iv. Are Janos's preferences continuous? Prove or disprove.
- v. Given wealth $w > 0$ and prices $P_1 > 0$ and $P_2 > 0$, derive Janos's Walrasian demand correspondence.

2. The country of Traubisoda is deciding how and whether to attack the country of Lekvaria. Traubisoda has three choices: it can 1) send a well-equipped invasion force, 2) send a poorly-equipped invasion force, or 3) stay home. A well-equipped invasion force is more expensive than a poorly-equipped one, but it is also more likely to win in battle. If Traubisoda chooses to invade, then Lekvaria has two choices: it can either fight or surrender. Crucially, when making its decision, Lekvaria cannot tell whether Traubisoda sent a well-equipped or poorly-equipped invasion force. That fact is common knowledge, as are the following payoffs:

If Traubisoda stays home, its payoff is 900 and Lekvaria's is 600.

If Traubisoda sends a well-equipped force and Lekvaria fights, payoffs are 400 and -600 .

If Traubisoda sends a poorly-equipped force and Lekvaria fights, payoffs are -600 and 600.

If Traubisoda sends a well-equipped force and Lekvaria surrenders, payoffs are 1000 and 0.

If Traubisoda sends a poorly-equipped force and Lekvaria surrenders, payoffs are 1200 and 0.

- a. Draw the extensive form of this game.
- b. Find all the pure-strategy perfect Bayesian equilibria. (HINT: There is at least one.)
- c. Find a perfect Bayesian equilibrium in which Traubisoda stays home with probability one and Lekvaria randomizes non-trivially.
- d. Find a perfect Bayesian equilibrium in which both countries randomize non-trivially.

3. Consider the following version of the Lucas-tree economy. Time is discrete, and there are only two periods: $t = 0, 1$. At $t = 1$, there are two possible states of nature: $\omega = 1, 2$ with $\pi_\omega = \text{prob}(\omega) \in (0, 1)$, and $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$. There are two trees - an apple (good 1) and orange (good 2) tree. Trees bring fruits (random dividends) at $t = 1$ so that the dividend from tree $j = 1, 2$ is $d^j = (d^{j1}, d^{j2})$, where $d^{j\omega} > 0$ are exogenous parameters. There are no dividends at $t = 0$. There are two consumers, who consume in period $t = 1$ only. Their utility functions are

$$u_i(x_{1i}, x_{2i}) = \sum_{\omega=1,2} \pi_\omega (a_{1i} \log x_{1i}(\omega) + a_{2i} \log x_{2i}(\omega)), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $x_{j,i}(\omega)$ is consumption of good j by consumer i in state $\omega = 1, 2$, and $a_{ji} > 0$ ($j = 1, 2$), $a_{1i} + a_{2i} = 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). At $t = 0$, consumers are endowed with shares of the apple and orange tree: $\theta_i = (\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)$ ($i = 1, 2$), where $\theta_i^j \geq 0$ and $\theta_1^j + \theta_2^j = 1$ ($j = 1, 2$). There is no other endowment. Shares of the trees are the only assets which are traded in the asset market.

- a. Carefully define the Radner (financial) equilibrium (be specific about objects traded, if any, at each date).
- b. Give a complete characterization of the Radner equilibrium.
- c. Is the equilibrium allocation Pareto efficient in this model? Why or why not?

4. Consider a duopoly where the two firms in question produce an undifferentiated product. Demand for the product is given by $p = \max\{A - X, 0\}$, where $A > 0$ is a constant, and X is the total output of the two firms. Each firm has a simple cost function: $C_i(x_i) = c_i x_i$, where the subscript refers to the firm, x_i is the firm's output, and c_i is a constant such that $0 < c_i < A$.

- a. The firms compete Cournot style. Assume that $A + c_1 > 2c_2$ and $A + c_2 > 2c_1$. Find the equilibrium. Give the equilibrium price, levels of output and profits.
- b. Now suppose that while the two firms are described as above, firm 2 is uncertain about the cost function of firm 1. Specifically, c_1 takes on one of a finite number of values, $c_{11}, c_{12}, \dots, c_{1n}$ with probabilities p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n ($\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$), and $0 < \min_j(c_{1j}) < A$. Firm 2 holds this probability assessment when it chooses its level of output x_2 ; firm 1 when it chooses x_1 knows the value of c_1 (and is allowed to condition its level of x_1 on c_1). Otherwise, the two engage in Cournot competition. Define an equilibrium as a quantity \hat{x}_2 output of firm 2 and, for each realization of firm 1's costs, a quantity $\hat{x}_1(c_1)$, such that firm 2, believing that firm 1's output will be given by the function \hat{x}_1 , maximizes its *expected profits* with output level \hat{x}_2 , and firm 1, believing that firm 2 will have output \hat{x}_2 and knowing that its own costs are given by c_1 , will choose to produce $\hat{x}_1(c_1)$. Characterize the equilibrium.
- c. Suppose the setting as in b., but prior to the selection of x_1 and x_2 , firm 1 is able to provide irrefutable evidence to firm 2 concerning its cost structure if it chooses to do so. What do you think will happen in this case?