Spring 2017
UGS 302 Difficult Dialogues: Sexual Violence and War
62515

Professor: Pascale Rachel Bos  
Office Hours: TTH 10:50-12:20 or by appointment  
Phone: 512-232-6373 (prefer email)  
Class meeting times: T TH 9:30-11:00

Office: BUR 314  
E-mail: pascalebos@austin.utexas.edu  
Classroom: BUR 324

Sexual violence during war and armed conflict is endemic. This fact has only recently been acknowledged, however. Since the wars in the former Yugoslavia and Rwanda in the early 1990s, scholars, politicians, activists and legal professionals have first begun to truly investigate the occurrences, causes, and ways to understand and prevent sexual violence during war and armed conflict. This course looks at both established and more recent scholarship on the subject and at a set of historic case studies to help us better understand how this violence comes about, what causes it, what different shapes it takes, and what may prevent it.

We investigate on the one hand what this sexual violence is and what it looks like: how is it similar to, or different from “everyday” sexual violence. What role do race, nationality, religion, ethnicity, and/or class play in sexual violence in war? Why is it so common, yet why do certain armed conflicts engender more sexual violence than others, and why do some troops commit (more) rape than others? We investigate who the individual perpetrators of sexual violence in armed conflict are and what motivates them, why both men and women can become victims of sexual violence in war, and the different purposes sexual violence in war may serve – as part of a military strategy, “spoils of war,” a “weapon of war,” method of genocide, and so forth. We also consider why sexual violence in war has remained above the law for so long.

Gender and Sexuality We focus on gender as a social construction with concrete effects in this course, as sexual violence (whether perpetrated by men or women, or on women or on men) can be understood as a form of gender violence as it either wishes to asserts masculine power over females or seeks to emasculate males by “feminizing” them.

This course carries a writing flag, which involves learning how to plan, draft, and revise your written work. Writing workshops are part of the course and have to be attended.
This course is interdisciplinary, in the sense that it presents perspectives from a range of scholarly disciplines: history, political science, philosophy, sociology, psychology, law, and literary and cultural studies. When we discuss the readings, we will therefore carefully look at and discuss the different sources, standards of evidence, and kinds of arguments that scholars from these different fields employ.

Difficult Dialogues seminars focus on teaching you the skills you need to participate in constructive dialogue about controversial and potentially divisive issues. Because of the sensitivity of the class topic, discussions of the material follow certain ground rules: be respectful about taking turns in conversations. Listen without interruptions when someone is speaking. Jokes or belligerent commentary are not acceptable. Engage carefully with other students’ arguments rather than reacting for the sake of wanting to be “right.” Keep in mind that, as is to be expected with this particular subject matter, quite a bit of the material you will encounter in this course may be difficult to deal with as it depicts violence and/or suffering, sometime depicted in an explicit, graphic matter. Our need to deal with it sensitively is paramount. If you find yourself having trouble with this material, let me know.
Class Text
Only required text: course pack (also referred to as the class reader) will be available from Jenn’s Copies, 2518 Guadalupe • (512) 482-0779 • 2518@jennscopies.com

Films
We will watch several films during this course. Some of them are viewed in class, some of them you are expected to watch outside of class.
Liberators take Liberties (Befreier, Befreite - excerpts)
Winter Soldier (excerpts)
Frontline My Lai
Land of Milk and Honey
The Invisible War (excerpts)
http://www.pbs.org/independentlens/films/invisible-war/
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1zpj9XoVFoI
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9nxq7-WYC4M (you only need to watch 0.01:11-0.03:35)

Assignments and Grading

Attendance
You are expected to attend all sessions, do the reading, and participate in discussions. Attendance is mandatory, is reflected in your grade, and if you miss a class, I need to be notified. (E-mail will do just fine). Unexcused tardiness is counted as a ½ absence.

Oral Presentations
You are required to facilitate one class discussion with 1-2 other students in which you prepare and present collaboratively (do not merely divide the work among yourselves, meet, discuss, and plan what and how you want to present to the class). You must post a collectively produced, brief analysis (2-page) of the readings and discussion questions 24 hours before class to the Canvas website to help guide the rest of us through the reading and prepare us for your presentation. You need to analyze the assigned scholarship, the theory and/or controversy it addresses. Your analysis should ideally relate that day’s assignments to the broader themes of the class. I strongly encourage you to incorporate current events, debates, news stories, etc. into your presentation and paper.

At the end of the semester, you will give a 4-5 minute presentation on your final thoughts on what you have learned in the class. Ideally, you would defend or refute a proposition made by one or more of the scholars discussed in the course. Notes on index cards will be of the essence here!

Difficult Dialogue Lecture (Difficult Dialogues Spring Public Forum)
On February 13th there will be a lecture which you will be required to attend. You will write a brief response paper based on this lecture, see below. Topic: “Envisioning Peace Through Dialogue: A Conversation Among Young Israeli and Palestinian Women” Mon, February 13, 2017 UNB 3.304 Quadrangle Room 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM

Writing and Literacy Assignments
2 x Informal writing – your thoughts on sexual violence and war at beginning and end of course
1x write up of your oral presentation/analysis on Canvas, see above (2 pages max) in which you analyze the scholarship assigned, the theory and/or controversy it addresses.

1 x Response paper – (2 pages) based on the lecture of the Difficult Dialogues (Feb 13):

Summarize basic content, structure, and arguments of the discussion and formulate a brief response/opinion about it. You will do peer editing before handing in a first draft, and you receive revision feedback from me after handing in this draft. You then hand in a revised, final draft.

1 x Evaluate Websites – exercise on how to assess websites for their reliability

Grading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attendance/participation</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 x Informal writing</td>
<td>5 % each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Response paper + revisions</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate Websites</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long oral Class presentation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-page write up of oral presentation</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief final oral presentation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I use plus and minus grading</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Writing Center

The Undergraduate Writing Center offers free, individualized, expert help with writing for any UT undergraduate, by appointment or on a drop-in basis. Their services are not just for writing with “problems.” Getting feedback from an informed audience is a normal part of a successful writing project. FAC 211, 512-471-6222. http://uwc.fac.utexas.edu/

A note on scholastic dishonesty

While doing research on the internet, it is tempting to copy and paste when you find a text that suits your need. This, however, constitutes plagiarism, a form of scholastic dishonesty that UT takes very seriously—it gets reposted. Don’t let this happen to you! Make sure you quote properly, or paraphrase (while disclosing your original source). See:

http://deanofstudents.utexas.edu/sjs/scholdis_plagiarism.php
http://www.lib.utexas.edu/services/instruction/learningmodules/plagiarism/

Disabilities

The University of Austin provides upon request appropriate academic accommodations for qualified students with disabilities. For more information, contact the Office of the Dean of Students at 512-471-6259, 512-471-6441 TTY.

Use of cell phones and computers

Cell phones must be put away during class, and computers may be used only for note-taking or research literacy assignments. Students who use gadgets for non-class related activities will be marked as absent.

Safety and Security

Sign up for UT police text alerts, evacuate buildings when a fire alarm is activated, familiarize yourself with all exit doors of each classroom and building you may occupy. If you have concerns about the behavior of a fellow student, you may call BCAL: 512-232-5050.

Concealed Handguns

Although by law I am not allowed to expressly forbid you from bringing a concealed handgun to my class if you are a LTC holder, the administration of this university, the faculty, staff, and the majority of the
students have expressed the wish to keep this campus gun-free. Weapons on campus pose a serious hazard: they can discharge accidentally, they can be stolen, they can become a tool of intimidation in a heated discussion, and unfortunately they can be used to coerce sexual consent. I thus strongly wish to dissuade you from bringing a concealed handgun to class - leave it at home, or if you must bring it to campus, leave it locked in your vehicle. Campus carry requires that handguns remain concealed at all times with no exceptions, and if a handgun is visible, however briefly, it is a violation of university policy and law. If a LTC holder does reveal their weapon in class, whether accidentally or by choice, or discusses having a gun on or with them, they will be asked to leave the classroom and be counted absent for that day. Guns are not permitted under ANY circumstances in my office. You will sign an “Acknowledgement of Oral Notice Prohibiting Concealed Handguns” form before visiting my office hours.

**IMPORTANT DUE DATES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 1</th>
<th>TH Jan 19</th>
<th>Bio due. Sign up for presentations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td>T Jan 24</td>
<td>Hand in first informal writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td>M 13</td>
<td>&quot;Envisioning Peace Through Dialogue: A Conversation Among Young Israeli and Palestinian Women&quot; 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM UNB 3.304 Quadrangle Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attendance at this discussion lectures is required for response paper.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>Th Feb 23</td>
<td>Bring draft of response paper about lecture to class for peer editing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td>T Feb 28</td>
<td>Response paper <strong>first draft</strong> due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td>Th Mar 9</td>
<td><strong>Revised</strong> response paper due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 10</td>
<td>Th Mar 30</td>
<td>Website evaluation exercise due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 11</td>
<td>T April 6</td>
<td>Website exercise discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 14</td>
<td>T 25</td>
<td>Final informal writing assignment due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 15</td>
<td>T2 May 2</td>
<td>Presentations (5-6 minutes) of synthesis/final conclusions in class.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Th May 5</td>
<td>Presentations (5-6 minutes) of synthesis/final conclusions in class.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finals' week
NO FINAL
Calendar-Syllabus

Readings are listed on the day they will be discussed so read them before you come to class and bring your reader. If a film is listed, it means that we will watch it in class.

___

Week 1

Introduction to Course and Assignments
T Jan 17
Introduction to the course structure of class, hand out of syllabus, bio sheet

Assignment: write up bio, think of which presentation you would like to do

Th 19
Personal introductions, sign up for presentations, first informal writing assignment hand-out, explanation of Canvas, hand in bio

Assignment: Write up your first informal writing assignment, get course reader

___

Week 2

Introduction: What causes “everyday” rape/sexual violence?
T 24
Maria Bevacqua excerpt from “Rape on the Public Agenda: Feminism...the Politics of Sexual Assault,” “CDC Survey Finds 1 in 4 Women Attacked by Partner”

What are some of the older and more recent understandings of sexual violence in the United States? How have feminists made a difference in this understanding? What different viewpoints do feminists hold on this topic?

Th 26
Approaches to Sexual Violence in War and Armed Conflict I
Susan Brownmiller “War” (from Against Our Will, Men, Women, Rape 1975)

Is sexual violence during war and armed conflict similar to, or different from, sexual violence in every day? What are the causes of sexual violence during war? We consider Brownmiller’s argument.

Discussion of Brownmiller, discuss oral presentation rubric and expectations

___

Week 3

Approaches to Sexual Violence in War and Armed Conflict II
T 31
Ruth Seifert “War and Rape: a Preliminary Analysis”

Seifert, a well-known German feminist scholar draws her own conclusions on the causes of wartime rape, on the heels of the mass rapes committed in the early 1990s during the war in Yugoslavia. What is her argument?

Presentation:

Th 2 Feb
Approaches to Rape in war and armed conflict III
Elisabeth Jean Wood “Variation in Sexual Violence during War”
Jonathan Gottschall “Explaining Wartime Rape”

What “variations” does the title of Wood’s article refer to, and how does she argue these variations matter? Gottschall presents an analytical overview of different scholarly approaches to the topic of wartime rape. He identifies different schools of thought/explanation.

Presentation:
**Week 4**  
**Historical Case World War I: Nazi Crimes**

T 7  
_Brief introduction to the history of WWII/Holocaust, Discussion/explanation of chronology and maps, Susan Brownmiller “WW II”_

Brownmiller offers a comprehensive overview of the kinds of sexual violence that was committed during WWII/the Holocaust by different parties

Presentation:

Th 9  
_Nazi Sexual Crimes on the Eastern Front_

Regina Muehlhaueser “Nazi Sexual Politics in the Occupied Soviet Union, 1942-1945 “

Muehlhaueser discusses in detail what the Nazi policies were towards sexual contact and sexual violence of Hitler’s troops against the occupied population of the Soviet Union

Presentation:

**Week 5**  
"Envisioning Peace Through Dialogue: A Conversation Among Young Israeli and Palestinian Women" 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM UNB 3.304 Quadrangle Room

attendance at this discussion lectures is required for response paper.

**Assignment:** Write a brief (2 page) response paper discussing the discussion’s speakers, their main content, arguments, and thesis, and what you thought about it. **Draft due on Feb 28th**, bring copy to class

T 14  
_Nazi Crimes against “The Racially Inferior”_

Eva Fogelman “Rape during the Holocaust: Vulnerabilities and Motivations”

_Was sexual violence against Jewish women common during the Holocaust? Why/ not?_

Presentation:

Th 16  
_Nazi Crimes against “The Sexually Deviant”_

Dagmar Herzog, “Sexual Violence against Men: Torture at Flossenbürg”

_How did Nazi ideology feed into the sexual violence directed against homosexual men?_

Presentation:

Assignment: work on response paper on public discussion, draft due 23rd

**Week 6**  
**Historical Case WW II: Soviet and Allied Crimes against German Women**

T 21  
Helke Sander BeFreier, Befreite (Liberators Take Liberties) Watch excerpts film in class + Excerpt Anonymous A Woman in Berlin

_Sexual violence against German women was endemic at the end of WW II: here we get the perspective of the affected women, at the time, and with the advantage of hindsight._
What is your response to the rape of German women? Did they get “what they deserved” as supporters of the Nazi regime? Were they innocent bystanders who became victims? Discussion to be continued on Tuesday

Th 23 Peer editing workshop of response paper in class

Assignment: write a final draft of response paper

---

Week 7

**Historical Case III Vietnam: “Incidental” Rape and the U.S. Army**

Response paper first draft due!

Watch excerpts from Vietnam Veterans against the War (VVAW) Winter Soldier (1972)

Susan Brownmiller “Vietnam”

What kinds of sexual violence was committed during the Vietnam war, by whom, and what was the cause of/ reason for this violence? What do the men who committed this violence or witnessed it have to say about it?

Th 2 Mar

**Vietnam: Perpetrator Memory and Trauma**

Watch in class: Frontline My Lai, discuss background to My Lai

Discussion about questions of (selective) and traumatic perpetrator Memory

Presentation:

---

Week 8

**Historical Case IV Yugoslavia: Introduction to the History of the Conflict**

Alexandra Stiglmayer “The War in the Former Yugoslavia”

Description of the conflict and the role of the rapes

Presentation:

Th 9

**Yugoslavia**

Revised response paper due!

Christina M. Morus “War Rape....Learning from the Bosnian War”

Watch excerpts of Jolie In the Land of Blood and Honey (2011)

Discussion of the film and the way it depicts wartime sexual violence

SPRING BREAK

---

Week 9

**Making a Case for Intervention in Yugoslavia: Which Rapes Matter?**

Rhonda Copelon “Surfacing Gender: Reconceptualizing Crimes against Women in War”

Pascale Bos “Feminists Interpreting the Politics of Wartime Rape”

While women on both sides of the conflict experienced sexual violence during this war, it is often argued that only some of the rapes can be argued to be an act of war – who makes this argument and on what basis? How have feminists over time tried to explain why sexual violence during wartime occurs? With a look back and comparison of the Soviet rapes of German women and the rape of women in the war in Yugoslavia, the question is asked what we may fail to see if we only look at gender or only at ethnicity to explain this violence
Presentation:
**Historical Case V, Rwanda: Strategies of the Prosecution**

Jessica A Hubbard “Justice for Women? Rape as Genocide and the ICT for Rwanda”

*How was the sexual violence at the center of the civil war in Rwanda similar to that which unfolded in the former Yugoslavia? Do we treat it the same way? What progress was made by the ICTR in prosecuting wartime sexual violence?*

Presentation:

Assignment: **Work on Website evaluation assignment**

---

**Complicating the Picture I: Research on Perpetrators**

**T28**

Nicola Henry et al. “A multifactorial model of wartime rape”

*Who are the men who rape during armed conflict? Are they the same men who commit sexual violence during peace time? What factors make some men more likely to engage in rape during war than in peace time?*

Presentation:

**Perpetrator Motives**

**Website evaluation assignment due**

Cynthia Cockburn “‘Why Are You Doing This to Me’?”

James E. Waller “Rape as a Tool of ‘Othering’ in Genocide”

*How identity – of the perpetrator and of the victim - matters in why and when sexual violence occurs during armed conflict between certain groups of combatants and civilians*

Presentation:

---

**Complicating the Picture II: Sexual Violence within the (U.S.) military**

**T6**

“Lawsuit Says Military Is Rife With Sexual Abuse”

Watch and discuss excerpts of The Invisible War (excerpts)

http://www.pbs.org/independentlens/films/invisible-war/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1zp9XoVFol

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9nxq7-WYC4M (watch 0.01:11-0.03:35 only)

**Th 8**

*The Invisible War*

Watch the rest of the film in class

---

**Complicating the Picture III: Sexual Violence against Men and Boys in War**

**T11**

Miranda Alison “Wartime Sexual Violence: Human Rights and the Question of Male…”

Charli Carpenter “Recognizing Gender Based Violence against…Men and Boys.”

*What are the implications of men and boys becoming the victims of sexual violence by men? Does this change our analysis of sexual violence as a gender crime?*

Presentation:
Th 13  **Strategies in Prosecuting Sexual Violence**
UN Security Council “Resolution 1820” (2008)
Dorothy Thomas and Regan Ralph “Rape in War: Challenging the Tradition of Impunity”

*Until recently, it was impossible to convict a soldier who committed acts of sexual violence during a war. This is now changing – but all for good?*

Presentation:

---

**Week 13**  **Case Study: Prosecuting Sexual Violence: Japan**
**T 18**
Yuma Totani “Legal Responses to WWII Sexual Violence: The Japanese Experience”

*The Japanese “comfort women” case and attempts to seek justice 60+ years after the fact*

Presentation:

**Th 20**  **(Feminist) Strategies for Prosecuting Wartime Sexual Violence**
Doris E. Buss “Rethinking ‘Rape as a Weapon of War’”

*Some of the challenges, progress and backlash of feminist prosecution strategies*

Presentation:

**Assignment: write up brief class assessment**

---

**Week 14**  **(Feminist) Strategies for Prosecuting Wartime Sexual Violence**
**T 25**  **Hand in brief final class assessment**
Fionnuala Ni Aolain “Rethinking the Concept of Harm...of Sexual Violence during war”
Pages 1-8 and 16-34

Presentation:

**Th 27**  **Conclusions**
Rhonda Copelon “Toward Accountability for Violence against women in war”

**Assignment: prepare BRIEF presentation**

---

**Week 15**  **Final presentations I**
**T 2 May**
Presentations (5-6 minutes) of your conclusions
Student presentations in which you talk about your conclusions about the class.

**Th 4 May**  **Final Presentations II**
Presentations (5-6 minutes) of your conclusions
Student presentations in which you talk about your conclusions

**Finals’ week**  **No Final**